

What is the largest number that can be written in the 100 boxes?

Beginning with just the digits 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9,
obviously a string of one hundred 9's, $\underbrace{9999 \dots 9999}_{100}$, is the biggest.

But how can this be improved?

Does the symbol + help anything?

Does the symbol * do much for us?

(Which is larger, for example $9*9*9$ or 99999 ? More generally, if α and ω are strings of digits, which is larger, $\alpha*\omega$ or $\alpha9\omega$? Even $\alpha0\omega$ is bigger than $\alpha*\omega$!

If we use a \uparrow character for exponentiation, we can get *much* bigger numbers.

But where should we put the \uparrow ? For example, which of these 5-letter strings gives the largest number: $99\uparrow99$ vs. $9\uparrow999$ vs. $999\uparrow9$. How can we think about this?

(Why does $999\uparrow9 \approx 1000^{10} = (10^3)^{10} = 10^{30}$ have about 30 digits, $99\uparrow99 \approx 100^{100} = (10^2)^{100} = 10^{200}$ have about 200 digits and $9\uparrow999 \approx 10^{1000}$ have about 1000 digits?)

Much Bigger Numbers

With *repeated* exponentiation we can really start to go nuts!!

How big is

$$2^{3^4} ?$$

The order of exponentiation is always inside to outside. So this is *not* worked out as $(2^3)^4$, which is a mere $2^{3 \cdot 4} = 4096$. Instead it is $2^{(3^4)}$, a much larger number, $2^{81} = 2,417,851,639,229,258,349,412,352$. But that's just a start: $2^{2^{3^4}} = 2^{2,417,851,639,229,258,349,412,352}$ is much bigger still! *

So that we can write these towers out, we're going to switch to up-arrows \uparrow for exponentiation, writing for example $2\uparrow2\uparrow3\uparrow4$ for $2^{2^{3^4}}$.

How big is $3\uparrow3\uparrow3\uparrow3 = 3^{3^{3^3}}$? Of course $3^3 = 27$, so $3\uparrow3\uparrow3 = 3^{27}$. Since 3^2 is about 10, more or less, $3^{27} \approx 10^{27/2}$, a thirteen digit number (7,625,597,484,987 to be precise).

*We can estimate how many digits $2^{2^{3^4}} \approx 2^{2 \cdot 10^{24}}$ has: 2^{10} is about 10^3 , so swapping every 10 powers of 2 for 3 powers of 10, this is about a 800,000,000,000,000,000,000,000 digit number! That's are about $1\frac{1}{4}$ moles worth of *digits*, as many atoms in $1\frac{1}{4}$ kilograms of hydrogen!

So 3^{3^3} is about 3 raised to a thirteen digit number, or 10 raised to half that (a three trillion-ish digit number). In other words, $3\uparrow 3\uparrow 3\uparrow 3$ has about 10^{13} digits!! The number $3\uparrow 3\uparrow 3\uparrow 3\uparrow 3$ has about *that* number of digits, whatever that might mean!

The Knuth Arrow Notation

But that's just baby stuff!! *We've hardly even started!!*

The Knuth Arrow Notation lets us lift off even further. To see how this works, remember that:

Multiplication is just repeated *addition*: $3 \times 4 = 3 + 3 + 3 + 3$ (four 3's are added)*

Exponentiation is just repeated *multiplication*: $3 \uparrow 4 = 3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3$ (four 3's are multiplied)

What shall we call repeated *exponentiation*, such as $3 \uparrow 3 \uparrow 3 \uparrow 3$? Knuth uses the symbol $3 \uparrow\uparrow 4$. That is, four 3's are "exponentiated" Remember that $3 \uparrow 3 \uparrow 3 \uparrow 3 = 3 \uparrow (3 \uparrow (3 \uparrow 3)) = 3^{3^{27}}$ a three-trillion digit number.

$3 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 3 = 3 \uparrow\uparrow 3 \uparrow\uparrow 3$ is pretty bad: The order of operations works from the right, just as with ordinary exponentiation, so we work out $3 \uparrow\uparrow (3 \uparrow\uparrow 3)$. We've already worked out that $3 \uparrow\uparrow 3$ is about seven and a half trillion, so we have that $3 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 3$ is about $3 \uparrow\uparrow$ (seven and a half trillion). This is disturbing:

$$3^{3^{3^{3^{\dots^3}}}}$$

a tower of 3's seven and a half trillion high!!

But then $3 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 4 = 3 \uparrow\uparrow (3 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 3)$, an exponential tower of 3's that is $(3 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 3)$ high!! Care to think about what $3 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 3 = 3 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow (3 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 3)$ is?

Weirdly, by the way, $2 + 2 = 2 \times 2 = 2 \uparrow 2 = 2 \uparrow\uparrow 2 = 2 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 2 = \dots = 4$ since 2×2 is two 2's added, $2 \uparrow 2$ is two 2's multiplied, $2 \uparrow\uparrow 2$ is two 2's "exponentiated", etc, etc.

And for any n , why does $n \times 1 = n \uparrow 1 = n \uparrow\uparrow 1 = \dots = n$?

If we really want to compare two numbers, like $5 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 5$ and $6 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 4$,[‡] we have to boil them

*And addition is just repeated *succession*: $a + b$ is a succeeded b times.

‡Can you work out which is bigger?

down to something we understand — all the way to comparing tally marks if we have to! Here’s a rule for reducing these out one step at a time, though this will take a long long while to expand out all the way to tallys. Let’s start with an example:

$3 \uparrow\uparrow 5 = 3 \uparrow 3 \uparrow 3 \uparrow 3 \uparrow 3$. Regrouping, we have that this equals $3 \uparrow (3 \uparrow\uparrow 4)$. Once we’ve worked out $(3 \uparrow\uparrow 4)$, we’ll have our answer. But $3 \uparrow\uparrow 4 = 3 \uparrow (3 \uparrow\uparrow 3)$, which we can work out once we have $3 \uparrow\uparrow 3 = 3 \uparrow (3 \uparrow\uparrow 2)$, which we can work out knowing that $(3 \uparrow\uparrow 2) = 3 \uparrow (3 \uparrow\uparrow 1)$. Here we have something we already knew: why is $3 \uparrow\uparrow 1 = 3$?

In the same way, we can reduce $3 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 5 = 3 \uparrow\uparrow (3 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 4)$. If we have worked out what $3 \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow 4$ is, which we can in the same way, then $3 \uparrow\uparrow$ (that large number) = $3 \uparrow (3 \uparrow\uparrow$ (that large number)-1).

The point is, that we can always boil these down into smaller pieces, and we have the general formula that

$$a \underbrace{\uparrow \dots \uparrow}_n b = a \underbrace{\uparrow \dots \uparrow}_{(n-1)} \underbrace{(a \uparrow \dots \uparrow)_n}_{(b-1)}$$

(as long as $n > 1$ and $b > 1$).

Eventually we boil down to $n = 1$ or $b = 1$: $a \uparrow b = a^b$ and $a \underbrace{\uparrow \dots \uparrow}_n 1 = a$.

Recursive functions

If we use function notation we can generalize this and produce some really enormous numbers in just a few characters! Let’s introduce letters **a**, **b**, etc, parentheses (), equals* signs = and, to keep things organized, commas and semicolons , ; too.

For our first example, let’s define a function $f(a) = a * f(a - 1)$. We could work out $f(10)$ as $f(10) = 10 * f(9)$. We can work out $f(9)$ as $9 * f(8)$, and so on boiling things down. In this way $f(10) = 10 * 9 * f(8) = 10 * 9 * 8 * \dots =$

But where does this stop? We had better define a base case, such as $f(1) = 1$. You probably recognize what function this **f** defines:

$$f(1) = 1; f(a) = a * f(a-1)$$

With just a few more characters, we can define a *Repeated factorial* function.

Since $a \underbrace{!! \dots !}_n = (a!) \underbrace{! \dots !}_{n-1}$, let’s define:

*Really, the “defined to be equal sign” := is better, but we don’t want to argue about how many characters that is.

$f(1) = 1; f(a) = a * f(a-1); \quad R(a,1) = f(a); R(a,n) = R(f(a,1), n-1)$

Then $R(a, n)$ represents $a \underbrace{!! \dots !}_n$ (!)

So for example, $R(9, 3) = 9!!! = (9!)!! = (362880)!! = (\text{some staggering number})!$ (!!) †

In our hundred boxes, we have plenty of room to write down some huge numbers: We used 33 characters to define our function, and we could use the rest to define input for our function, such as $R(9, \underbrace{9 \dots 9}_n)$.
62 9's

But we can make far, far larger numbers with only a few characters. How big are $R(9, R(9, 9))$ or even $R(9, R(9, R(9, 9)))$???

Can we do even more??

Here's a way to define a function K that gives $a \underbrace{\uparrow \dots \uparrow}_n b$, with Knuth arrows.

Remember we have a way to simplify this as $a \underbrace{\uparrow \dots \uparrow}_{n-1} (\underbrace{a \uparrow \dots \uparrow}_n (b-1))$. So let's define

$$\begin{aligned} K(a, 1, b) &= a \uparrow b; \\ K(a, n, 1) &= a; \\ K(a, n, b) &= K(a, n-1, K(a, n, b-1)); \end{aligned}$$

Check that $K(a, n, b) = a \underbrace{\uparrow \dots \uparrow}_n b$.

NOW define

$$\begin{aligned} G(1) &= K(3, 4, 3); \\ G(n) &= K(3, G(n-1), 3); \end{aligned}$$

So $G(1) = K(3, 4, 3) = 3 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 3$, an unimaginably large, but well-defined number.‡
 Next, $G(2) = 3 \underbrace{\uparrow \dots \uparrow}_{G(1)} 3$, which has $G(1)$ Knuth arrows!!!! And $G(3)$ has $G(2)$'s worth of arrows! And this just goes on!

†How many Knuth arrows does repeated exponentiation compare to?
 In general, for any $n \geq 2$, $n!$ is less than n^n . On the other hand, $n!!$ is much greater than n^n , since $n!! = (n!)(n!-1)\dots(n!-n) \dots$ — the first n terms are each bigger than n . For example $9!! = (9!)! < (9!)^{(9!)} < (9^9)^{(9^9)} = 9^{9 \cdot 9^9} = 9^{9^{9+1}} \approx 9 \uparrow \uparrow 3$. On the other hand $9!! > (9!)^{(9!)} > 9 \uparrow 9 = 9 \uparrow \uparrow 2$. Similarly, verify that $9 \uparrow \uparrow 3 < 9!!! < 9 \uparrow \uparrow 4$. What's the pattern? Prove it holds forever.
 ‡ $G(1) = K(3, 4, 3) = 3 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 3 = 3 \uparrow \uparrow (3 \uparrow \uparrow 3)$. Recall $3 \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow 3 = 3 \uparrow \uparrow (3^{3^3})$, an exponential tower 3²⁷ 3's tall; $G(1)$ is this many repetitions of the $\uparrow \uparrow$ operation!

The famous **Graham's Number** is $G(64)$: This fits in just under 100 characters:

$$\begin{aligned} K(a, 1, b) &= a \uparrow b; \\ K(a, n, 1) &= a; \\ K(a, n, b) &= K(a, n-1, K(a, n, b-1)); \\ G(1) &= K(3, 4, 3); \\ G(n) &= K(3, G(n-1), 3); \\ G(64) \end{aligned}$$

With another ten or twenty characters how much bigger can you go?

